## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF	)
THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF	)
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER FROM	) CASE NO. 2006-00507
NOVEMBER 1, 2004 TO OCTOBER 31, 2006	)

## <u>COMMISSION STAFF'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR</u> PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER

Pursuant to Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:001, Commission Staff requests that American Electric Power ("AEP") file the original and 5 copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. The information requested herein is due on or before February 23, 2007. Each copy of the information requested shall be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention shall be given to copied material to ensure its legibility. When the requested information has been previously provided in this proceeding in the requested format, reference may be made to the specific location of that information in responding to this request.

1. Refer to AEP's response to Item 10 of the Commission's December 18, 2006 Order. AEP's internal <u>average</u> annual demand increased by 20 MW for the year ending October 31, 2005 compared to the year ending October 31, 2006, while AEP's <u>maximum</u> annual internal demand decreased by 20 MW. Explain whether the primary driver of the inverse results of the average and maximum average demands is weather

related. If there are other reasons for the results, include those reasons in the

explanation.

2. Refer to AEP's response to Item 13 of the Commission's December 18,

2006 Order. Monthly line losses for the period under review range from 2.172 percent

to 22.991 percent. Provide a narrative explanation of the reasons for such a wide range

of losses, particularly for the losses of 22.991 percent in November 2004, which are

considerably higher than any other month during the period.

3. Refer to AEP's response to Item 15 of the Commission's December 18,

2006 Order. Explain AEP's plans for replacing the coal tonnage shipments lost due to

expiration of the Argus Energy contracts in December 2006.

4. Refer to AEP's response to Item 17 of the Commission's December 18,

2006 Order. Provide the underlying calculation of the monthly charge for each rate

shown for Tariff OL and Tariff SL. If a light is not separately metered, include the

calculation for the energy portion of the charge for each light.

5. Refer to AEP's response to Item 18 of the Commission's December 18,

2006 Order. Provide the costs on a cents per MMBtu basis for AEP and each of the

four utilities included in AEP's comparison for the period from May 2006 through

October 2006, or through the most recent month available.

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director

**Public Service Commission** 

P. O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED \_\_February 8, 2007

cc: All Parties